

आयुक्त का कार्यालय, (अपीलस) Office of the Commissioner,



केंद्रीय जीएसटी, अहमदाबाद आयुक्तालय

Central GST, Appeal Commissionerate- Ahmedabad

जीएसटी भवन, राजस्व मार्ग, अम्बावाडी अहमदाबाद ३८००१५.

CGST Bhavan, Revenue Marg, Ambawadi, Ahmedabad 380015

: 079-26305065

टेलेफैक्स: 079 - 26305136

OUNT : 05/06/2020

By speed Post

फाइल संख्या :File No : V2(GST)23-25 /EA2/North/Appeals/2019-20 / / দু পুন ७ । ১৭৭

अपील आदेश संख्या :Order-In-Appeal No.: AHM-EXCUS-002-APP-22-24/19-20

दिनाँक Date: जारी करने की तारीख Date of Issue: 27.03.2020

श्री <u>अखिलेश कुमार</u> आयुक्त (अपील) द्वारा पारित

Passed by Shri Mukesh Rathore, Joint Commissioner (Appeals) Ahmedabad

आयुक्त, केन्द्रीय GST, अहमदाबाद North आयुक्तालय द्वारा जारी मूल आदेश : दिनाँक : से सृजित

Arising out of Order-in-Original As per OIA, Issued by: Assistant Commissioner, CGST, Div: II, Ahmedabad North.

अपीलकर्ता एवं प्रतिवादी का नाम एवं पता

Name & Address of the Appellant & Respondent

M/s. Adson Global Tech Pvt. Ltd.

कोई व्यक्ति इस अपील आदेश से असंतोष अनुभव करता है तो वह इस आदेश के प्रति यथास्थिति नीचे बताए गए सक्षम अधिकारी को अपील या पुनरीक्षण आवेदन प्रस्तुत कर सकता है।

Any person aggrieved by this Order-In-Appeal issued under the Central Excise Act 1944, may file an appeal or revision application, as the one may be against such order, to the appropriate authority in the following way:

\भारत सरकार का पुनरीक्षण आवेदन

एवं सेवाकर

Revision application to Government of India:

- केन्द्रीय उत्पादन शुल्क अधिनियम, 1994 की धारा अंतर्गत नीचे बताए गए मामलों के बारे में पूर्वोक्त धारा को उप-धारा के प्रथम परन्तुक के अंतर्गत पुनरीक्षण आवेदन अवर सचिव, भारत सरकार, वित्त मंत्रालय, राजस्व विभाग, चौथी मंजिल, जीवन दीप भवन, संसद मार्ग, नई दिल्ली : 110001 को की जानी चाहिए।
- (i) A revision application lies to the Under Secretary, to the Govt. of India, Revision Application Unit Ministry of Finance, Department of Revenue, 4th Floor, Jeevan Deep Building, Parliament Street, New Delhi - 110 001 under Section 35EE of the CEA 1944 in respect of the following case, governed by first proviso to sub-section (1) of Section-35 ibid:
- यदि माल की हानि के मामले में जब ऐसी हानि कारखाने से किसी भण्डागार या अन्य कारखाने में या किसी भण्डागार से . दूसरे भण्डागार में माल ले जाते हुए मार्ग में, या किसी भण्डागार या भण्डार में चाहे वह किसी कारखाने में या किसी भण्डागार में हो माल की प्रकिया के दौरान हुई हो।
- In case of any loss of goods where the loss occur in transit from a factory to a warehouse or to another factory or from one warehouse to another during the course of processing of the goods in a warehouse or in storage whether in a factory or in a warehouse.
- भारत के बाहर किसी राष्ट्र या प्रदेश में निर्यातित माल पर या माल के विनिर्माण में उपयोग शुल्क कच्चे माल पर उत्पादन . शुक्क के रिबेट के मामलें में जो भारत के बाहर किसी राष्ट्र या प्रदेश में निर्यातित है।

In case of rebate of duty of excise on goods exported to any country or territory outside India of on excisable material used in the manufacture of the goods which are exported to any auntry or territory outside India.

यदि शुल्क का भूगतान किए बिना भारत के बाहर (नेपाल या भूटान को) निर्यात किया गया माल हो। In case of goods exported outside India export to Nepal or Bhutan, without payment of duty.

ध अंतिम उत्पादन की उत्पादन शुल्क के भुगतान के लिए जो डयूटी केडिट मान्य की गई है और ऐसे आदेश जो इस धारा एवं नियम के मुताबिक आयुक्त, अपील के द्वारा पारित वो समय पर या बाद में वित्त अधिनियम (नं.2) 1998 धारा 109 द्वारा नियुक्त किए गए हो।

(d) Credit of any duty allowed to be utilized towards payment of excise duty on final products under the provisions of this Act or the Rules made there under and such order is passed by the Commissioner (Appeals) on or after, the date appointed under Sec.109 of the Finance (No.2) Act, 1998.

(1) केन्द्रीय उत्पादन शुल्क (अपील) नियमावली, 2001 के नियम 9 के अंतर्गत विनिर्दिष्ट प्रपत्र संख्या इए–8 में दो प्रतियों में, प्रेषित आदेश के प्रति आदेश प्रेषित विनाम से के भीतर मूल–आदेश एवं अपील आदेश की दो–दो प्रतियों के साथ उचित आवेदन किया जाना चाहिए। उसके साथ खाता इ. का मुख्यशीर्ष के अंतर्गत धारा 35–इ में निर्धारित फी के भुगतान के सबूत के साथ टीआर–6 चालान की प्रति भी होनी चाहिए।

The above application shall be made in duplicate in Form No. EA-8 as specified under Rule, 9 of Central Excise (Appeals) Rules, 2001 within 3 months from the date on which the order sought to be appealed against is communicated and shall be accompanied by two copies each of the OIO and Order-In-Appeal. It should also be accompanied by a copy of TR-6 Challan evidencing payment of prescribed fee as prescribed under Section 35-EE of CEA, 1944, under Major Head of Account.

(2) रिविजन आवेदन के साथ जहाँ संलग्न रकम एक लाख रूपये या उससे कम हो तो रूपये 200/- फीस भुगतान की जाए और जहाँ संलग्न रकम एक लाख से ज्यादा हो तो 1000/- की फीस भुगतान की जाए।

The revision application shall be accompanied by a fee of Rs.200/- where the amount involved is Rupees One Lac or less and Rs.1,000/- where the amount involved is more than Rupees One Lac.

सीमा शुल्क, केन्द्रीय उत्पादन शुल्क एवं सेवाकर अपीलीय न्यायाधिकरण के प्रति अपील:-Appeal to Custom, Excise, & Service Tax Appellate Tribunal.

(1) केन्द्रीय उत्पादन शुल्क अधिनियम, 1944 की धारा 35— णवी / 35—इ के अंतर्गत:—

Under Section 35B/ 35E of CEA, 1944 an appeal lies to :-

उक्तिलिखित परिच्छेद 2 (1) क में बताए अनुसार के अलावा की अपील, अपीलो के मामले में सीमा शुल्क, केन्द्रीय उत्पादन शुल्क एवं सेवाकर अपीलीय न्यायाधिकरण <u>(सिस्टेट)</u> की पश्चिम क्षेत्रीय पीठिका, अहमदाबाद में **दूसरा मंजिल, बहूमाली** अवन, असारवा, अहमदाबाद, गुजरात 380016

To the west regional bench of Customs, Excise & Service Tax Appellate Tribunal (CESTAT) at 2nd floor, Bahumali Bhavan, Asarwa, Ahmedabad-380016 in case of appeals other than as mentioned in para-2(i) (a) above.

(2) केन्द्रीय उत्पादन शुल्क (अपील) नियमावली, 2001 की धारा 6 के अंतर्गत प्रपन्न इ.ए-3 में निर्धारित किए अनुसार अपीलीय न्यायाधिकरणें की गई अपील के विरुद्ध अपील किए गए आदेश की चार प्रतियाँ सिहत जहाँ उत्पाद शुल्क की मांग, ब्याज की मांग ओर लगाया गया जुर्माना रूपए 5 लाख या उससे कम है वहां रूपए 1000/— फीस भेजनी होगी। जहाँ उत्पाद शुल्क की मांग, ब्याज की मांग ओर लगाया गया जुर्माना रूपए 5 लाख या 50 लाख तक हो तो रूपए 5000/— फीस भेजनी होगी। जहाँ उत्पाद शुल्क की मांग, ब्याज की मांग ओर लगाया गया जुर्माना रूपए 50 लाख या उससे ज्यादा है वहां रूपए 10000/— फीस भेजनी होगी। की फीस सहायक रिजस्टार के नाम से रेखाकित बैंक ड्राफ्ट के रूप में संबंध की जाये। यह ड्राफ्ट उस स्थान के किसी नामित सार्वजनिक क्षेत्र के बैंक की शाखा का हो

The appeal to the Appellate Tribunal shall be filed in quadruplicate in form EA-3 as prescribed under Rule 6 of Central Excise(Appeal) Rules, 2001 and shall be accompanied against (one which at least should be accompanied by a fee of Rs.1,000/-, Rs.5,000/- and Rs.10,000/- where amount of duty / penalty / demand / refund is upto 5 Lac, 5 Lac to 50 Lac and above 50 Lac respectively in the form of crossed bank draft in favour of Asstt. Registar of a branch of any nominate public sector bank of the place where the bench of any nominate public sector bank of the place where the bench of the Tribunal is situated

(3) यदि इस आदेश में कई मूल आदेशों का समावेश होता है तो प्रत्येक मूल ओदश के लिए फीस का भुगतान उपर्युक्त ढंग से किया जाना चाहिए इस तथ्य के होते हुए भी कि लिखा पढी कार्य से बचने के लिए यथास्थिति अपीलीय न्यायाधिकरण को एक अपील या केन्द्रीय सरकार को एक आवेदन किया जाता हैं।

In case of the order covers a number of order-in-Original, fee for each O.I.O. should be paid in the aforesaid manner not withstanding the fact that the one appeal to the Appellant Tribunal or the one application to the Central Govt. As the case may be, is filled to avoid scriptoria work if excising Rs. 1 lacs fee of Rs.100/- for each.

(4) न्यायालय शुल्क अधिनियम 1970 यथा संशोधित की अनुसूचि—1`के अंतर्गत निर्धास्ति किए अनुसार उक्त आवेदन या मूल आदेश यथास्थिति निर्णयन प्राधिकारी के आदेश में से प्रत्येक की एक प्रति पर रू.6.60 विष्टा विष्टा प्राधिकारी के आदेश में से प्रत्येक की एक प्रति पर रू.6.60 विष्टा विष्टा प्राधिकारी के अवेद लगा होना चाहिए।

One copy of application or O.I.O. as the case may be, and the order of the adjournment authority shall beer a court fee stamp of Rs.6.50 paisa as prescribed under scheduled-litem of the court fee Act, 1975 as amended.

(5) इन ओर संबंधित मामलों को नियंत्रण करने वाले नियमों की ओर भी ध्यान आकर्षित किया जाता है जो सीमा शुल्क, केन्द्रीय उत्पादन शुल्क एवं सेवाकर अपीलीय न्यायाधिकरण (कार्याविधि) नियम, 1982 में निहित है।

Attention in invited to the rules covering these and other related matter contended in the Customs, Excise & Service Tax Appellate Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1982.

(6) सीमा शुल्क, केन्द्रीय उत्पाद शुल्क एवं सेवाकर अपीलीय प्राधिकरण (सीस्तेत) के प्रति अपीलों के मामलों में केन्द्रीय उत्पाद शुल्क अधिनियम, १९४४ की धारा ३५फ के अंतर्गत वित्तीय(संख्या-२) अधिनियम २०१४(२०१४ की संख्या २५) दिनांक: ०६.०८.२०१४ जो की वित्तीय अधिनियम, १९९४ की धारा ८३ के अंतर्गत सेवाकर को भी लागू की गई है, द्वारा निश्चित की गई पूर्व-राशि जमा करना अनिवार्य है, बशर्ते कि इस धारा के अंतर्गत जमा की जाने वाली अपेक्षित देय राशि दस करोड़ रूपए से अधिक न हो

केन्द्रीय उत्पाद शुल्क एवं सेवाकर के अंतर्गत " माँग किए गए शुल्क " में निम्न शामिल है

- (i) धारा 11 डी के अंतर्गत निर्धारित रकम
- (ii) सेनवैट जमा की ली गई गलत राशि
- (iii) सेनवैट जमा नियमावली के नियम 6 के अंतर्गत देय रकम

→ आगे बशर्ते यह कि इस धारा के प्रावधान वित्तीय (सं. 2) अधिनियम, 2014 के आरम्भ से पूर्व किसी अपीलीय प्राधिकारी के समक्ष विचाराधीन स्थगन अर्ज़ी एवं अपील को लागू नहीं होगे।

For an appeal to be filed before the CESTAT, it is mandatory to pre-deposit an amount specified under the Finance (No. 2) Act, 2014 (No. 25. of 2014) dated 06.08.2014, under section 35F of the Central Excise Act, 1944 which is also made applicable to Service Tax under section 83 of the Finance Act, 1994 provided the amount of pre-deposit payable would be subject to ceiling of Rs. Ten Crores,

Under Central Excise and Service Tax, "Duty demanded" shall include:

- (i) amount determined under Section 11 D;
- (ii) amount of erroneous Cenvat Credit taken;
- (iii) amount payable under Rule 6 of the Cenvat Credit Rules.
- → Provided further that the provisions of this Section shall not apply to the stay application and appeals pending before any appellate authority prior to the commencement of the Finance (No.2) Act, 2014.
- (6)(i) इस आदेश के प्रति अपील प्राधिकरण के समक्ष जहाँ शुल्क अथवा शुल्क या दण्ड विवादित हो तो माँग किए गए शुल्क के 10% भुगतान पर और जहाँ केवल दण्ड विवादित हो तब दण्ड के 10% भुगतान पर की जा सकती है।
- (6)(i) In view of above, an appeal against this order shall lie before the Tribunal on payment of 10% of the duty demanded where duty or duty and penalty are in dispute, or penalty, where penalty alone is in dispute."
- II. Any person aggrieved by an Order-in-Appeal issued under the Central Goods and Services Tax Act, 2017/Integrated Goods and Services Tax Act, 2017/Goods and Services Tax (Compensation to States) Act, 2017, may file an appeal TO THE APAPELLATE TRIBUNAL WHENEVER IT IS CONSTITUTED WITHIN THREE MONTHS FROM THE PRESIDENT OR THE STATE PRESIDENT ENTER OFFICE.



ORDER IN APPEAL

The below mentioned three departmental appeals have been filed by the Assistant Commissioner, CGST & Central Excise, Division-II, Ahmedabad North, Ahmedabad (hereinafter referred to as the 'appellant') under Section 107 of the Central Goods and Services Tax Act, 2017, against the following Orders-in-Originals (hereinafter referred to as 'impugned orders') passed by the Assistant Commissioner, Central GST, Division-II(Naroda Road), Ahmedabad (hereinafter referred to as 'adjudicating authority') in the matter of refund, the details of which are as follows:

Sr. No.	Name of the respondent	OIO No. & date issued under Form GST RFD 06	Review Order No. passed by the Commissioner , CGST &C.Ex., Ahmedabad North Comm'rate [in terms of Section 107(2) of the CGST	Appeal No.	Amount Under Dispute (inRs.)
		3	Act, 2017]	5	6
1	M/s. AdsomGlobaltech Private Limited, 77, 1st Floor,	MP/355/RFD- 1A/ITC Ref/18-19 dated	18/2019-20 dtd 05.11.2019	V2(GST)23/ EA2/ North/Appe als/19-20	2894/- (CGST Rs. 1447/- &
	Sahajanad, Opp. Rajasthan School,	21.12.2018		•	SGST Rs. 1447/-)
2	Shahibaug, Ahmedabad-380004 24AAQCA1752J1ZK (GSTIN)	MP/357/RFD- 1A/ITC Ref/18-19 dated 21.12.2018	20/2019-20 dtd 05.11.2019	V2(GST)24/ EA2/ North/Appe als/19-20	24956/- (CGST Rs. 12478/- & SGST Rs. 12478/-)
3	<u>.</u>	MP/343/RFD- 1A/ITC Ref/18-19 dated 11.12.2018	19/2019-20 dtd 05.11.2019	V2(GST)25/ EA2/ North/Appe als/19-20	20430/- (CGST Rs. 10215/- & SGST Rs. 10215/-)

2. The facts of the cases, in brief, are that the respondent, had filed three refund claims for the month of April, August & June-2018 on account of input tax credit(ITC) accumulated due to Zero rated supply of goods and the same has been sanctioned by adjudicating authority vide impugned order in view of the formula given in the Rule 89 of the CGST Act, 2017 for refund on zero rated supply of goods and services.

- 3. On the refund claim being sent for post audit, it was observed that, all three refund claims have been filed on 16.10.2018, i.e. after the issuance of Circular No. 59/33/2018-GST dated 04.09.2018 and the instruction laid down in this circular are applicable to these refund claims. There was sufficient balance in IGST head at the time of filing of the refund claims for relevant period. However the respondent has not followed the chronology of debiting the ITC in Electronic Credit Ledger as per the provision contained in para 3.2 of CBIC Circular No. 59/33/2018-GST dated 04.09.2018. Hence, audit observed that the adjudicating authority had sanctioned the refund claims, in excess. Thereafter on the impugned orders, having been examined for their legality and Commissioner, CGST &C.Ex., Ahmedabad propriety, the Commissionerate, vide above mentioned Review Orders authorized the appellant to file appeals against the impugned orders raising the following grounds:
 - That therefund claims have been filed on 16.10.2018, i.e. after the issuance of Circular No. 59/33/2018-GST dated 04.09.2018 and the instruction laid down in this circular are applicable to these refund claims.;
 - That there was sufficient balance in IGST head at the time of filing of the refund claims for relevant period and the entire amount of refunds were to be debited from IGST head but the respondent has debited the entire claim amount equally from CGST and SGST head. Therefore, in view of para 3.2 of aforesaid circular the entire claim amount are ineligible for refund and liable to be rejected.
 - that the excess refund sanctioned needs to be recovered along with interest.
- 4. Personal hearing in respect of all three appeals was held on 17.03.2020, wherein Shri Sanjay Mittal, Director of the Company appeared before me on behalf of respondent.
- 5. I have carefully gone through the facts of the appeals, the department's grounds of appeal in the Review Orders. I find that the date of receipt of the impugned order as mentioned in the review orders is 08.05.2019 and the above appeals have been filed on 07.11.2019. As per Section 107 of the CGST Act 2017, the review of the order and the consequent filing of appeal by the subordinate has to be done within a period of six months from the date of communication of the order. I find that the above appeal have been filled within time limit.

5

- 6. I have gone through the facts of the cases, the impugned orders & the grounds raised in the review orders mentioned *supra* and the oral averments raised during the course of personal hearing. I find that the whole dispute of amount of refund, for which appeal has been preferred, arose on account of CBIC Circular No. Circular No.59/33/2018-GST dated 04.09.2018, relevant part of which is reproduced below:
 - "3.2. After calculating the least of the three amounts, as detailed above, the equivalent amount is to be debited from the electronic credit ledger of the claimant in the following order:

a) Integrated tax, to the extent of balance available;

- b) Central tax and State tax/Union Territory tax, equally to the extent of balance available and in the event of a shortfall in the balance available in a particular electronic credit ledger (say, Central tax), the differential amount is to be debited from the other electronic credit ledger (i.e., State tax/Union Territory tax, in this case)."
- 7. As provided under above circular, while filling refund claims, the respondent was required to debit the claim amount from Electronic credit ledger, IGST to the extent balance available therein. There was sufficient balance in IGST head at the time of filing of the refund claims for relevant period, however, the respondent has debited the entire claim amount equally from CGST and SGST head. I find that the respondent has not followed the chronology of debiting the ITC in Electronic Credit Ledger as per the provision contained in para3.2 of CBIC Circular No. 59/33/2018-GST dated 04.09.2018. Thus, it is observed that in none of the cases above, they debited extent balance available the the amount unspecified/improper method of debit by the respondent resulted in excess refund of CGST and SGST to the tune of the amount shown in column 6of Sr. No. 1 to 3of table above which also required to be recovered with applicable interest.
- 8. In view of the foregoing, I find that adjudicating authority has erroneously sanctioned the excess refund to the respondent and therefore the excess refund amount should be recovered with appropriate interest. Hence, the appeal filed by the appellant is allowed.

- 9. The departmental appeal is allowed and the impugned order is set aside. The prayer of the department for the recovery of the erroneously sanctioned refund along with interest is also allowed.
- 10. अपीलकर्ता द्वारा दर्ज की गई अपील का निपटारा उपरोक्त तरीके से किया जाता है।

10. The appeals filed by the appellant stand disposed of in above terms.

(मुक्श राठार) संयुक्त आयुक्त(अपील्स)

Date : 77.03.2020
Attested

Superintendent(Appeals), Central Tax, Alimedabad

By R.P.A.D.

To,

M/s. AdsomGlobaltech Private Limited, (GSTIN: 24AAQCA1752J1ZK) 77, 1st Floor, Sahajanad, Opp. Rajasthan School, Shahibaug, Ahmedabad-380004

Copy to:

- 1. The Principal Chief Commissioner of Central Tax, Ahmedabad Zone.
- 2. The Commissioner, SGST, Government of Gujarat, RajyaKarBhavan, Ashram Road, Ahmedabad.
- 3. The Commissioner of Central Tax, Ahmedabad-North.
- 4. The Additional /Joint Commissioner, Central Tax (System), Ahmedabad-North.
- 5. The Assistant Commissioner, CGST Division-II(Naroda Road), Ahmedabad-North.
- 6. Guard File.

7. P.A. File

i